IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GOLD 2017 DISEASE CLASSIFICATION IN A REAL-LIFE COPD COHORT

M. Plutinsky¹, K. Brat¹, V. Koblizek², J. Zatloukal³, P. Popelkova¹, E. Kocova¹², B. Novotna¹³, O. Kudela², M. Kopecky², K. Neumannova¹⁴, M. Svoboda¹⁵, J. Jarkovsky¹⁵, Z. Zbozinkova¹⁵, J. Svancara¹⁵, J. Lnenicka¹⁶, V. Rihak¹⁷

¹Department of Respiratory Diseases, University Hospital-Olomouc (Czech Republic), ⁴Pulmonary Department, University Hospital-Ostrava (Czech Republic), ⁴Pulmonary Department, ⁴Pulmonary Department, ⁴Pulmonary Department, ⁴Pulmonary Department, ⁴Pulmonary De Republic), ⁵Pulmonary Department, University Hospital – Pilsen (Czech Republic), ⁸Pulmonary Department, Regional Hospital – Ceske Budejovice (Czech Republic), ⁸Pulmonary Department, University Hospital – Pilsen (Czech Republic), ⁹Pulmonary Department, ⁹Pulmonary Departme Republic), Pulmonary Department, Regional Hospital-Liberec (Czech Republic), Pulmonary Department, Regional Hospital-Hradec Kralove (Czech Republic), Regional Hospital-Hradec Kralove (Czech Republic), Regional Hospital-Liberec (Czech Republic), Regional Hospital-Liberec (Czech Republic), Regional Hospital-Liberec (Czech Republic), Regional Hospital-Liberec (Czech Republic), Regional Hospital-Hradec Kralove (Czech Republic), Regional Hospital-Liberec (Czech Republic), Regional Hospital-Republic), ¹³Pulmonary Department, Bulovka Hospital—Prague (Czech Republic), ¹⁴Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University—Brno (Czech Republic), ¹⁶Pulmonary Department, Regional Hospital–Usti nad Labem (Czech Republic), ¹⁷Pulmonary Department, Regional Hospital–Zlin (Czech Republic)

Introduction

During the last decade, the GOLD classification of COPD underv notable evolution. There is limited evidence how the latest class on approach affects the distribution of COPD patients across th groups.

Aims

Our aim was to assess predictive value of the last three GOLD c cation systems – I-IV (pre 2011)¹, A-D (2011–2016)² and A-D (20 present)³ in relation to the long-term mortality of COPD patients the CMRD (Czech multicenter research database of severe COP hort⁴.

Methods

CMRD is a multicenter, prospective, observational and non-interver study of non-selected group of patients with severe COPD (post-br dilator FEV1 \leq 60%). We have analysed the data of 784 patients at follow-up. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was performed for the 3 a mentioned GOLD classification systems.

Results

Application of the GOLD I–IV system showed gradual and signif increase in 4-year mortality across the stages (GOLD II 18.7%, G 28.5%, GOLD IV 38.7%) (p=0.001). Application of the GOLD A-D (2011–2016) showed group D being the most populous catego

= 523, 66.7%) with the highest rate of 4-year mortality (N = 157, Group C patients had lower mortality (N = 39, 17.9%) than group ents (N = 150, 18.7%) (p=0.01). Finally, using the GOLD A-D 2017 fication approach resulted in major shifts of patients across gro A-D, with group B being the largest (N = 412, 52.5%) compared D (N = 293, 37.5%). Similarly, mortality in group B patients was ntly higher (N = 103, 25%) than in group C (N = 13, 23.1%).

Conclusion

Our results show that the current GOLD classification possesses gradual predictive value for long-term mortality. Another important finding is that the adaptation of the 2017 GOLD Update resulted in major shift from group D to B of ca 40% of former group D COPD patients, and also from group C to A. This results are in agreement with Cabrera's study⁵.

Demographic data				Phenotypes		
Men			572 (73.0%)	Czech	Bronchitic	455 (58.09
Age at inclusion			N=784; 66,6 (9,2); 66,9 (50,9; 81,1)		Emphysematous	278 (76.0
Age at COPD diagnosis			N=745: 58.7 (11.0): 59.4 (39.7: 74.5)		BCO	112 (31.3
RMI			$N = 784^{\circ} 274(62)^{\circ} 269(184^{\circ} 380)$		ACO	23 (3.8%
Smolving	Ev omokor		F20(60.6%)		Frequent exacerbactor	245 (31.3
SHIOKING	Ex-Smoker		556 (06.0%)		Cachexia	111 (14.2
	Non-smoker		86 (11.0%)	Spanish	ACO	92 (11.7%
	Smoker		160 (20.4%)		NON-AE	485 (61.9
	Su	motom				143 (18.2
$D_{\rm Vennood} = mMPC$ accre		Πρισπ	$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (A \cap Q_n)$		AE NON-CB	64 (8.2%
Dysphoea – mivirku score	1		<u> </u>		GOL	D
	1 2		143(10.3%) 210(20.5%)	GOLD (1-4)	1	0 (0.0%
	2		310(39.3%) 165(21.0%)		2	267 (37.0
	<u>З</u>		100 (21.0%)		3	362 (50.1
	4	NI-	(10.1%)		4	93 (12.9%
Estique		IN=	260 (A7 6%)	GOLD 2016 (A-D)	Α	35 (4.7%
			562 (71 0%)		В	150 (20.1
Evpectoration			<u> </u>		С	39 (5.2%
			<u>400 (00.0%)</u> <u>16 (5.0%)</u>		D	523 (70.0
Haemontysis			<u>40 (5.9%)</u> <u>12 (5.1%)</u>	GOLD 2017 (A-D)	Α	64 (8.2%
Δτοργ			42(3.4%) 0/(12.0%)		В	412 (52.7
Δsthma			81 (10.3%)		С	13 (1.7%
					D	293 (37.5
Exacerbation	on histo	ry – pr	evious 12 months		Dredictive	indices
Treated at home		N = (84; 0.8(1.3); 0.0(0.0; 3.0))		BUDE	$N = 598 \cdot 42(21) \cdot 40(10 \cdot 80)$	
> 0		317(40.4%)			$N = 774 \cdot 4.7 (1.6) \cdot 5.0 (2.0 \cdot 7.0)$	
Requiring hospital care		N = (84; 0.4 (0.8); 0.0 (0.0; 2.0)		CPS	$N=565^{\circ} 67(24)^{\circ} 70(30^{\circ} 110)$	
> 0			203 (25.9%)		N-303	, 0.1 (2.4), 1.0 (0.0, 11.0)
lotal		$\frac{N=784; 1.2 (1.6); 1.0 (0.0; 4.0)}{410 (50 6\%)}$		Categorical variables	are presented as absolute	or relative frequencies.
> U			412 (52.6%)	Continuous paramete	rs are presented as valid N	, mean value (SO) and me
Pu	ılmonar	v funct	ion tests	(5°°; 95°° percentile).		
FEV1 (% PV) N=784; 45.0		5.0 (11.6); 46.1 (25.2; 60.0)				
FVC (% PV)		N=784; 68	8.7 (17.6); 67.9 (40.0; 100.1)			
VCmax (% PV)		N=784; 72	2.2 (17.5); 71.0 (45.0; 100.0)			
FEV1/FVC (%)		N=78	4; 0.5 (0.1); 0.5 (0.3; 0.7)			
FEV1/VCmax (%)		N=78	4; 0.5 (0.1); 0.5 (0.3; 0.7)			
RV (% PV)	Ν	V=632; 18	6.7 (60.4); 183.8 (99.0; 291.0)			
TLC (% PV)		N=629; 110	0.8 (26.4); 111.0 (68.0; 155.0)			
RV/TLC (%)		N=589; 6	6.5 (20.5); 64.0 (44.0; 104.0)			
		N=457; 4	2.1 (24.1); 33.0 (17.0; 83.3)			
IC/TLC (%)						
IC/TLC (%) TLCO (% PV)		N=509; 5	2.4 (21.9); 51.0 (22.0; 96.0)			
IC/TLC (%) TLCO (% PV) KCO (%)		N=509; 5 N=474; 68	52.4 (21.9); 51.0 (22.0; 96.0) 8.7 (26.4); 67.0 (31.0; 115.0)			
IC/TLC (%) TLCO (% PV) KCO (%) FeNO (ppb)		N=509; 5 N=474; 68 N=285;	52.4 (21.9); 51.0 (22.0; 96.0) 8.7 (26.4); 67.0 (31.0; 115.0) 18.5 (18.9); 13.0 (3.0; 52.0)			

References

- Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2007;176:532-5
- 2. Global Strategy for Diagnosis, Management, and Prevention of COPD 2016. Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease. Cited: Aug 18th, 2018.
- 4. Novotna B, Koblizek V, Zatloukal J et al. Czech multicenter research database of severe COPD. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis. 2014;9:1265–1274.

. Rabe KF, Hurd S, Anzueto A et al et al. Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: GOLD executive summary

structive lung disease. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management and prevention of COPD (2018 report). Cited: Aug 18th, 2018. Avalaible from: https://goldcopd.org/gold-reports/

5. Cabrera López C, Casanova Macario C, Marín Trigo JM et al. Comparison of the 2017 and 2015 Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease Reports. Impact on Grouping and Outcomes. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2018;197(4):463-469.



Long term survival according to GOLD 2011–2016 (A-D)



Long term survival according to GOLD 2017 (A-D)

